

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested. No claims have been amended or canceled. As such, claims 1-11, 13-21, and 23-30 remain pending in the present application.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 11, 13-16, 18, 20, 21, 23-26, 28, and 30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0772 327 to H. Kuki ("Kuki") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,574,658 to Gabber et al. ("Gabber"). The Office Action acknowledges that Kuki does not disclose the filter which filters out unnecessary information from the communication message. It is asserted that Gabber teaches this feature. Applicants respectfully disagree.

Gabber teaches a system that allows a user to filter out messages from a particular sender. As such, the system of Gabber acts as a filter, disposing of *entire* email messages. See col. 3, lines 40-43 of Gabber. As claimed in claim 1, a wireless messaging engine receives a communication message and filters out unnecessary information from that communication message. Unnecessary information is filtered out from *within* the communication message, whereas Gabber teaches filtering out entire messages. Applicants respectfully submit that the independent claims 1, 11, and 21 distinguish over Kuki and Gabber, taken alone or in combination, and request that the §103 rejection of claims 1, 11, and 21 be withdrawn.

Claims 2-6, 8, 10, 13-16, 18, 20, 23-26, 28, and 30 are either directly or indirectly dependent from independent claim 1, 11, or 21 respectively and should distinguish over Kuki and Gabber for at least the same reasons as stated above. Applicants respectfully request that the §103 rejection of claims 2-6, 8, 10, 13-16, 18, 20, 23-26, 28, and 30 be withdrawn.

Claims 7, 17, and 27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuki and Gabber in view of EP 777 394 to Belpaire ("Belpaire"). As noted above, neither Kuki nor Gabber teach filtering out unnecessary information from the communication message. Belpaire does not remedy the deficiencies of Kuki and Gabber in that Belpaire also does not teach filtering out unnecessary information from the communication message. Belpaire is merely cited for the purpose of teaching formatting the at least a portion of the communication message in accordance with at least one of wireless device capabilities and stored preference

information associated with the subscriber. As such, Applicants submit that claims 7, 17, and 27 distinguish over the combination of Kuki, Gabber, and Belpaire and request that the §103 rejection be withdrawn.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue.

Dated: March 3, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Ashley N. Moore

Registration No.: 51,667

JENKENS & GILCHRIST, A PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 3200

Dallas, Texas 75202

(214) 855-4500

(214) 855-4300 (Fax)